

Edge effects on the regeneration of forest fragments in south Brazil

A thesis presented

by

Efraim Rodrigues

to

The Department of Organismic and Evolutionary Biology

in partial fulfillment of the requirements

for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in the subject of Biology

Harvard University

Cambridge, Massachusetts

July, 1998

© by Efraim Rodrigues

All rights reserved

Abstract

Forested environments are being cleared all over the world. From 1981 to 1990, the loss of tropical forests was estimated at 154 million ha. As a result of that, the interface between forest and open environment has increased greatly. General patterns of species distribution and dynamics in these forest edges must be determined, if we are to recommend guidelines for the management of forest edges.

This work addresses three main questions: 1) Do different types of species occur at different distances from the edge in the tropics? 2) Are non-monotonic patterns of plant density common in edges? 3) If so, which mechanism is generating it, and how do fragment size and edge orientation affects non-monotonic patterns?

In order to answer these questions, I established 48 transects 4 m wide, 25-100m long. I identified 192 species, on a sample of 16,674 saplings higher than 1m, and smaller than 5 cm DBH. Transects were located in 19 forest fragments, ranging from 0.4 ha, up to 650 ha. Two additional data sets were used. Fourteen transects, 10 m wide, 45-100m long, were surveyed for density of trees (above 10cm DBH), and fifty-five transects 50m or 100m long were surveyed for light and temperature measurements. The study site is located in North Paraná, Brasil, at 50°W lon 23°S lat. The annual average temperature is 22.5° C. Monthly averages vary from 16° C up to 24° C. The average annual rainfall in the region is 1615 mm.

A historical survey of the region showed that human impact on the forests of North Paraná was very small prior to the construction of the main transportation network linking the region to the coast in 1934. The rapid deforestation process that occurred in North Paraná soon after 1934, caused edges to be even aged. The elongated shape of farms caused fragments to have straight edges, and the interspersion of large and small farms, caused small and large fragments to be interspersed. These four landscape aspects conditioned by history, plus the fact that North Paraná is devoid of major altitudinal and soil gradients, indicates that at this study site, historical and environmental variation is low, making comparisons among edges possible.

The occurrence of pioneers and canopy species closer to edges than the average individual, indicates that the light enhancement at these sites, is selecting species adapted to this condition. Furthermore, where light penetrates further into the forest, (north edges), the species composition of sites deeper into the forest, resembles the species composition of sites closer to the edge of protected (south) edges.

Sapling density showed to have a non-monotonic pattern. It is associated with species composition when all transects are pooled, and when different transect subsets are considered. The association sapling density/species composition ceases to exist around 35 m from the edge, in all different groups considered.

Sapling density is related to (either controlling or being controlled by) lateral light on the edge, but trees control light deeper on. The point at which

one condition switches to the other, coincides with the point at which Vapor Pressure Deficit stabilizes (35m). Further evidence that the edge is 35 m wide, is that plant diversity peaks at 35 m. This probably indicates that two different communities meet at this point. Species composition on the seven subsets (large-small, north-south, and three sizes of saplings) confirm that species composition is related to distance from the edge up to around 35 meters. After that, no pattern is shown.

Species composition of whole transects in small fragments somewhat resemble the typical species composition found in edges in this region. Therefore, in addition to the fact that small fragments are more exposed to edge effects because of their higher perimeter/area relation, small fragments are, as a whole, more affected by edge effects. This fact is coupled with the enhanced amplitude of the crest and hollow pattern of density on small fragments, in relation to large fragments. The most likely mechanism enhancing edge effects in small fragments, is the additional effect of secondary edges over the one being considered. The diameter of small fragments is many times smaller than 200 m, so that entire transects in small fragments are closer than 100 m to a secondary edge. In those cases, a weak secondary edge effect is added to the entire transect, amplifying the magnitude of the edge effect.

Table of Contents

Chapter 1: Life in the edge Effects on the margin of forested ecosystems

A) Edge effects as an outcome of deforestation.....Erro! Indicador não definido.

B) Concepts of edge effects.....Erro! Indicador não definido.

B1) Two Approaches to the investigation of edge effects

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

Edge as ecotones (the ecological approach)

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

A general theory about boundaries (the landscape approach)

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

B2) Mathematical functions used to study Edge Effects

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

"All or nothing" function

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

"Wall" function

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

Monotonic function

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

Non-monotonic functions

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

C) Edge Effects and their causes.....Erro! Indicador não definido.

C1) Human and landscape causes of edge effects

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

Characteristics of the forest at the moment of deforestation

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

Deforestation methods

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

Fire

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

Fragment Size

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

Matrix

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

Facing orientation

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

Slope

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

C2) *Abiotic effects*

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

Solar radiation

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

Humidity

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

Wind

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

C3) *Direct Biological Effects*

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

Regeneration

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

Tree Density

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

Death rates

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

Mid-distance peaks of plant density.

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

C4) *Biological interactive effects*

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

Herbivory

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

Egg Predation

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

Seed predation

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

Pollination

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

Plant Species Composition

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

D) How do edges change in time?.....Erro! Indicador não definido.

E) Methodological IssuesErro! Indicador não definido.

F) Raising Questions about Edge EffectsErro! Indicador não definido.

Literature CitedErro! Indicador não definido.

Chapter 2 The History of Forest Fragmentation in North Paraná, BrazilErro! Indicador não definido.

A) IntroductionErro! Indicador não definido.

B) Ancient transportation phase.....Erro! Indicador não definido.

C) Modern transportation phase.....Erro! Indicador não definido.

C1) *The Old North: A transportation infra structure in its beginnings*

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

C2) *The construction of a railway opens North Paraná*

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

C3) *The plan of the “Companhia de Terras*

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

D) Outcomes of the colonization projects.....Erro! Indicador não definido.

Reduction of the native cover

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

E) Conclusions.....Erro! Indicador não definido.

Literature CitedErro! Indicador não definido.

Chapter 3 Materials and MethodsErro! Indicador não definido.

A) Site location and descriptionErro! Indicador não definido.

B) Data CollectionErro! Indicador não definido.

B 1) Sapling Data set

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

The choice of plant size

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

The choice of forest fragments

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

Plant Identification

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

B 2) Tree Data set

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

B 3) Abiotic data set

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

Appendix 1 Leaf clearing protocol.....Erro! Indicador não definido.

Literature CitedErro! Indicador não definido.

Chapter 4 The effect of forest edges on different groups of speciesErro! Indicador não definido.

A) Introduction.....Erro! Indicador não definido.

B) Material and Methods.....Erro! Indicador não definido.

B 1) Criteria for Species Classification

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

B 1 1) Pioneers and Climax species

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

B 1 2) Rare and Abundant species

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

B 1 3) Understorey and Canopy Species

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

B 1 4) Wind-dispersed and animal-dispersed species

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

B 1 5) Exotic species

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

B 2) Analysis

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

C) Results.....Erro! Indicador não definido.

D) Discussion.....Erro! Indicador não definido.

Question 1) Is enhanced light causing the increase of pioneers and canopy species on the edge?

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

Question 2) Does the absence of seed predation at the edge translate into reduced occurrence of animal-dispersed species?

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

Question 3) Is the edge an entrance of species to the fragment?

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

Question 4) Do species that occur close to the edge, also occur in small fragments?

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

E) Conclusions.....Erro! Indicador não definido.

F) Literature Cited.....Erro! Indicador não definido.

Chapter 5 Non-monotonic patterns on edges of tropical forests.....Erro! Indicador não definido.

A) Introduction.....Erro! Indicador não definido.

B) Materials and Methods.....Erro! Indicador não definido.

Analysis

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

C) Results.....Erro! Indicador não definido.

The existence of non-monotonic patterning

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

The influence of landscape on non-monotonic patterns

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

North and South edges

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

Large and Small fragments

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

D) Discussion.....Erro! Indicador não definido.

D1) Non-monotonic patterns and edge width estimates

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

D2) Mechanisms generating non-monotonic patterns

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

Wind disturbance

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

Niche Partitioning

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

Asymmetrical competition

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

The influence of landscape on non-monotonic patterns

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

Edge heterogeneity

Erro

! Indicador não definido.

E) Conclusions.....Erro! Indicador não definido.

F) Literature CitedErro! Indicador não definido.

Chapter 6 Closing RemarksErro! Indicador não definido.

A) Patterns on edge effectsErro! Indicador não definido.

B) Answers to the Questions on chapter 1Erro! Indicador não definido.

C) Suggestions for future studies.....Erro! Indicador não definido.

Literature CitedErro! Indicador não definido.

Acknowledgments

This work was made possible by the contribution of many people and Institutions. Starting this thesis by showing my gratitude to them, is more than a formality to me. I look forward to having a chance to return their most valued help.

First and foremost, I would like to thank my parents **Lydia** and **Efraim** for not watching TV on that warm night, back in 1964, and for putting up with all the long-term consequences of such act. Much of this work results from the perfectionism inherited from my mother, and the pragmatism of my father. My older sister Joyce also has been a constant source of support, particularly during the long Bostonian winters.

Fabia, there must be a less formal way of showing my appreciation for your continuous effort during the elaboration of this work. I hope to make up for the many moments I could not enjoy your presence, and I promise that this was the last Ph.D. thesis I will ever write.

Working with **Otto Solbrig** was a hard and enriching experience. I was benefited by his large experience, many interests, and by his great capacity of criticism. Otto was the person who most seriously took the job of training me. I thank him for the many hard times he gave me, and for exposing my weaknesses.

Professors **Peter Ashton**, **Richard Forman** and **William Bossert** had a major influence on this work since the beginning. I thank them for putting me

back on the track of science based on real, field data, and for the comments on earlier versions of this study.

Working with a bunch of field assistants was a headache. The most fun, productive, and enriching one I have ever had. This work would have been impossible without their contribution:

Ann Holland, an intuitive taxonomist, that had never worked in Brazil, and managed to teach me how to identify some species.

Bruce Moreira, a computer hacker that put our GPS up and running. The nightclubs in Londrina greatly miss his presence.

John Waterman, who always asked “what for?”, and in so doing, managed to change the whole field design, after asking his most preferred question a number of times.

Waminda Parker, a sturdy and well-humored Australian, who traveled around the globe to participate in the data collection.

Douglas Goldemberg, an experienced USDA officer, who taught me a number of tricks about how to deal with field assistants under stressing conditions. I hope Douglas will continue enlarging his collection of bot-flies. He managed to have twelve at a time, all on his head.

Trevor Pattison, a tough guy, who got rid of bot-flies by painfully squeezing them out.

Laila and Londa Knoll. You gals are the most productive crew ever! As promised, that large fragment in Paiquere is named here as Paiquere-Twins.

Waldely Silva has an endless passion for field work. We always had to drag him out of the forest after 10 hours of field work. I hold no hopes for him. He will finish his days buried under piles of specimens, in dark herbariums, identifying just “one more species”.

Betania Christiane Herrmann is a hard working Agronomy student. Judging by the appreciation ticks have for her, she will have a bright career as an Entomologist.

Adriana Tamie Otutumi is a shy and observant student of Agronomy. I was much benefited by having her eagle eyes around.

Four local Institutions offered their help, as they continuously did, over the last seven years:

The **Universidade Estadual de Londrina** provided the labs, a field car, assistants and various materials, including 21,000 handcrafted numbered tags. The completion of this work owns a great deal to the continuous effort of this Institution to train and assist its professors. I am particularly indebted to **Maria de Fátima Guimarães**, **Christiane Medina** and **Ana Maria Arruda**, who took care of my teaching load when I was in Cambridge, and to the officer **Dorival**, and the **University shop**, who handcrafted 21,000 metal numbered tags.

The **Instituto Ambiental do Paraná**, through the officers **Tarcisio** and **Rachel**, offered access to aerial photographs and hours of enriching conversation about the forests of the region.

The **Instituto Agronômico do Paraná** efficiently provided meteorological data through the Net, after I was back in USA.

The **Federal Ministry of Agriculture** donated a large set of aerial photographs, that were used in this work, and that are serving the new-born Laboratory of Landscape Ecology of the University of Londrina

I definitely look forward to going back to Londrina and to continue working with them.

I am extremely grateful to **Capes** (Coordenadoria de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Ensino Superior). My presence in Cambridge and my field trip to Brazil would not had been possible without their aid. Their organization and punctuality were remarkable, particularly in face of all the infrastructure problems.

The **David Rockefeller Center for Latin American Studies** (DRCLAS) and the **Department of Organismic and Evolutionary Biology** also provided funds that made the data collection possible.

Several statisticians provided continuous, patient and valuable help during the data analysis of this thesis.

Professor Robin Chazdon provided real-time assistance through the net. The analysis of diversity and of spatial patterns was much facilitated by her assistance.

Professor Michael Palmer was extremely helpful on putting CANOCO to work. He must be an innate professor, who spends much of his time assisting people. I look forward to meet him personally.

Professor Robert Rosenthal rebuilt my faith in ANOVAS. That was not an easy task, but I am sure it will have long term effects on my future professional life.

The soon to be **Professor William Hoffmann** also helped to calm my hard feelings about ANOVAS. His statistical assistance dates from much before the data analysis of this study.

Professor Eugene Gallagher was instrumental with the CNESS analysis of diversity. He spent a whole day taking me for a walk in multidimensional space.

Weber Antonio Amaral was a key person on helping me adapt to Cambridge. I profited of his company both personally and scientifically. I do hope that our friendship and partnership continue after our return to Brazil.

I also thank **John and Ann Fraser, Anna Herspberger, Swee Peck Quek** and **Pedro Laterra** for many suggestions on previous versions of this thesis. I do look forward to return their long effort of reading my manuscripts.

Renee Gonzalez brought a component of Mexican happiness to the early phases of this work.

The 13 billion volumes included in the Harvard Libraries would be useless without the patient, kind and effective assistance of their librarians. I hope that in a next life, **Judy Warnement, Lisa Ann DeCesare, Gretchen Wade** and **Dorothy Solbrig** return as grad students, while I will be the librarian.

Many taxonomists assisted the identification of the plant specimens. I am life indebted to them. The choice of asking their help was not solely based in competence, but also in kindness and friendship. Working with them was not

only effective, but also pleasant. They were: **João Batista Baitello, Marilda Dias, Maria Lucia Kawasaki, João Aurélio Pastore, Manoel Paiva, Charles Schnell, Lucia Helena da Silva and Roseli Torres.**